The Islamic Dilemma and Theological Contradiction

A Deep Exploration of Islam’s Foundational Conflict with the Bible, History, and Divine Truth

The Islamic Dilemma and Theological Contradiction

Preface

This book was written not out of hostility, but out of hope. Not to condemn, but to compare—with truth as the compass. In a world where religious identity is often inherited, rarely questioned, and seldom deeply examined, many never ask the most important question: “What is true?”

We have not written these pages to insult Muslims or exalt Christians as morally superior. Both communities are made of flawed people in need of grace. But the teachings themselves—the core claims of Islam and Christianity—deserve honest examination.

If Islam is true, then Christians are guilty of the gravest sin: associating partners with God. But if Christianity is true, then Islam’s rejection of Jesus’ divinity and crucifixion is not merely wrong—it is eternally tragic.

This is not about religion. This is about reality.

Introduction: What Is the Islamic Dilemma?

At the heart of Islam lies a contradiction—one that cannot be ignored by any sincere seeker:

The Qur’an claims to affirm previous scripture.

But it contradicts the Bible’s most central message.

This is the Islamic dilemma:

If the Bible is true, then the Qur’an is false. If the Bible is false, then the Qur’an’s claim to confirm it is also false.

You cannot have both. One must break.

Throughout this work, we will:

Compare the identity of Jesus vs. Isa

Examine the Qur’an’s claim that Jesus was not crucified

Investigate the historical, prophetic, and theological evidence

Contrast how each faith views salvation, sin, God, and eternity

This book invites both Christian and Muslim readers—and everyone in between—to reason deeply. To test every word. To seek truth, not tradition. And above all, to consider this question:

If Jesus really is who He claimed to be… what should I do with that?

Introduction

The Islamic worldview presents itself as a continuation and completion of Judaism and Christianity. It asserts that the Torah (Tawrat), the Psalms (Zabur), and the Gospel (Injeel) were all divine revelations given to prophets before Muhammad, and that Muhammad’s prophethood completes the chain. Yet when we begin to compare these claims against the content and historical preservation of the earlier scriptures, and examine the theological positions Islam demands, a contradiction quickly becomes apparent. This book explores that contradiction — known widely as the Islamic Dilemma — and its deeper implications, historically, theologically, morally, and scripturally.

This is not an attack. It is an invitation: to reason together, to seek the truth with sincerity, and to test all things — because truth, if it is true, has nothing to fear.

Chapter 1: What Islam Claims About Previous Scriptures

Islam acknowledges and reveres the earlier Abrahamic scriptures:

The Torah was given to Moses (Surah 3:3, 6:91).

The Psalms (Zabur) were given to David (Surah 17:55).

The Gospel (Injeel) was given to Jesus (Surah 5:46).

It also affirms the unity of God's message:

“This is the Book about which there is no doubt, a guidance for those conscious of

Allah…” — Surah 2:2

“He has sent down upon you the Book in truth, confirming what was before it…” — Surah 3:3

Muhammad is repeatedly described as confirming previous revelation:

“And We have revealed to you the Book with the truth, confirming the Scripture that came before it and as a criterion over it…” — Surah 5:48

The Qur’an even commands Jews and Christians to follow their own scriptures:

“Let the People of the Gospel judge by what Allah has revealed therein…” — Surah 5:47

These verses clearly indicate that:

The Gospel was still available in Muhammad’s time.

It was considered authentic and binding.

Revelation or Regurgitation?

This leads to a significant question: If Muhammad is merely "confirming" what came before, then what exactly is new in his message?

A true revelation is expected to offer new insight, divine unfolding, or clarification previously unknown. But Muhammad’s claim to confirm previous messages while simultaneously contradicting them creates a deeper dilemma:

If the Torah and Gospel are true, then the Qur’an’s message is redundant at best.

If the Qur’an changes the earlier message, then it is not confirmation, but corruption.

Worse, the Qur’an denies the very core of the Gospel — Jesus' divinity, crucifixion, and resurrection — while still claiming to affirm it. That is not revelation. That is a revision wrapped in repetition.

The Bible warned of such distortions:

"But even if we or an angel from heaven should preach a gospel other than the one we preached to you, let them be under God’s curse!" — Galatians 1:8

The Unchangeable Word of God?

The Qur’an makes repeated claims that the word of God cannot be changed:

Surah 6:115 – “None can change His words.”

Surah 10:64 – “No change is there in the words of Allah. That is what is the great attainment.”

Surah 18:27 – “There is no changer of His words.”

This would logically include the revelations given to Moses, David, and Jesus.

Yet Islamic tradition and modern apologetics argue that the Bible has been corrupted.

This leads to an irreconcilable contradiction:

If God's Word cannot be changed — and the Torah and Gospel are His Word — then the Bible should be reliable.

But if the Bible has been corrupted — then either:

God's word was changed (making the Qur’an false), or

The Qur’an was wrong to affirm and command its use (making Muhammad a false prophet).

This is the Islamic Dilemma.

Chapter 2: Does the Qur’an Reveal Anything New?

A central claim of Islam is that the Qur’an is a miraculous revelation—guiding mankind, clarifying truth, and in some cases, allegedly revealing scientific facts unknown at the time of Muhammad. But does it truly offer anything new, either theologically or scientifically?

Scriptural Revelation?

When we compare the Qur’an to the Bible:

The moral laws reflect many of the laws already found in the Torah.

The calls to monotheism echo the Shema of Judaism and Christian monotheism.

The accounts of the prophets are often derivative of Jewish midrash and apocryphal writings, not inspired texts.

Many stories (e.g., Jesus speaking from the cradle, Abraham's near-sacrifice) appear to

be drawn from non-canonical, later Jewish or Christian legends.

Scientific Revelation?

Islamic apologists often cite the Qur’an’s references to embryology, the universe’s expansion, or mountains as pegs as evidence of divine scientific insight.

Upon examination, these claims fall apart:

Embryology (Surah 23:13–14): The description is vague, poetic, and consistent with Greek embryology from Galen (2nd century), widely known and circulated in the Near East.

The expanding universe (Surah 51:47): The Arabic is ambiguous. Many early and classical commentators did not interpret this as scientific expansion.

Mountains as pegs (Surah 78:6–7): While poetic, this is not geologically accurate. Mountains do not stabilize tectonic plates—they result from their collision.

These so-called "scientific miracles" are often the result of retroactive interpretation—reading modern science back into vague or metaphorical verses. They do not constitute predictive or revelatory knowledge.

Theological Insight?

Does the Qur’an reveal anything about God that wasn’t already known?

It reaffirms monotheism, already central to Judaism and Christianity.

It introduces determinism and Allah’s absolute will, sometimes contradicting God’s relational and just nature in prior scripture.

It offers rules, but not relationship.

It denies the Sonship of Christ and the Fatherhood of God — making the Divine fundamentally unreachable.

Thus, the Qur’an offers no new theological insight, and even obscures the character of God revealed throughout biblical history.

The Qur’an is not revelation in the prophetic sense. It is a reduction — a collection of known stories, reinterpreted, and stripped of their prophetic fulfilment.

Chapter 3: The Qur’an’s Denial of the Crucifixion – Historical and Theological Consequences

The heart of the Christian faith is the death and resurrection of Jesus Christ. It is the cornerstone of Christian theology, redemption, and hope. Yet the Qur’an stands in direct contradiction to this:

“And [for] their saying, ‘Indeed, we have killed the Messiah, Jesus, the son of Mary, the messenger of Allah.’ And they did not kill him, nor did they crucify him; but [another] was made to resemble him to them.” — Surah 4:157

This single verse has profound theological and historical implications, and it creates a ripple of contradiction within Islamic theology.

1. Contradiction with Eyewitness Testimony

Unlike the Qur’an, which came over 600 years after Jesus with no access to firsthand sources, the crucifixion is affirmed by:

All four Gospels, written by direct disciples and early companions.

Paul, a former persecutor turned witness (1 Corinthians 15:3–8).

Secular sources like Tacitus and Josephus.

Early Christian creeds that date within a few years of the crucifixion event (e.g., the pre-Pauline creed in 1 Corinthians 15).

These sources affirm:

Jesus was crucified under Pontius Pilate.

He died and was buried.

He appeared alive afterward to many witnesses.

This is not mythology. It is historical reporting, confirmed by enemy testimony, Roman records, and a martyred church who had nothing to gain by lying.

2. Theological Absurdity of Substitution Theory

The common Islamic interpretation is that someone else was made to appear like Jesus and was crucified in His place.

This raises significant moral and theological issues:

Who was substituted? (Judas? A disciple?)

Why would God deceive everyone for centuries?

Why would the earliest followers of Jesus — many of whom died for their testimony — be misled by God?

This would make God the author of global deception, violating both the Qur'an's own affirmation that Allah is just, and the biblical doctrine that God cannot lie:

"God is not a man, that He should lie." — Numbers 23:19

"…God, that cannot lie…" — Titus 1:2

This view makes the entire Christian faith — built on the death and resurrection of Christ — a product of God's trickery, which is both theologically untenable and morally indefensible.

3. Islam Has No Eyewitnesses

The Qur'an does not present a single eyewitness to this alternate event.

No name is given for the substitute.

No rationale is offered.

No correction of historical witnesses is made.

Instead, the Qur'an merely asserts an alternate version of history with no support, six centuries removed from the event.

In contrast, the Christian scriptures:

Are rooted in first-century testimony.

Include hostile witnesses turned believers (e.g., Paul, James).

Are corroborated by external historians.

Are supported by archaeological findings and manuscript evidence.

4. The Crucifixion in Prophecy and Fulfilment

The crucifixion was not a surprise event—it was foretold:

Isaiah 53 describes the suffering servant who would be "pierced for our

transgressions.”

Psalm 22 speaks of hands and feet pierced, lots cast for garments.

Zechariah 12:10 references “looking on him whom they pierced.”

Jesus Himself foretold His death and resurrection multiple times:

“The Son of Man must suffer many things… and be killed, and after three days rise again.” — Mark 8:31

To deny the crucifixion is to:

Undermine prophecy.

Reject Jesus’ own words.

Strip the Gospel of its saving power.

Conclusion: The Qur’an’s Denial is Irreconcilable

Historically, the crucifixion of Jesus is one of the most attested events of antiquity. Theologically, it is the foundation of salvation.

The Qur’an’s denial lacks:

Historical support

Eyewitness accounts

Logical coherence

Moral justification

It contradicts the weight of history, the testimony of the early church, the nature of prophecy, and the justice of God.

This denial is not only wrong — it is fatal to Islam’s credibility.

The cross was not a mistake. It was the plan.

“For the message of the cross is foolishness to those who are perishing, but to us who are being saved it is the power of God.” — 1 Corinthians 1:18

Chapter 4: Jesus vs. Isa – The Two Portraits

Few theological comparisons are as consequential as the one between Jesus of the Bible and Isa of the Qur’an. While Islam claims to honor Jesus as a prophet, the Isa

described in the Qur’an is fundamentally different in message, identity, purpose, and authority. This chapter explores these differences in depth, grounded in scriptural comparison, historical context, and the internal logic of both religions.

1. Different Identity: Divine vs. Merely Human

In Christianity:

Jesus is the Son of God, eternally begotten, not made (John 1:1–14).

He is one with the Father (John 10:30), the exact representation of God's being (Hebrews 1:3).

He accepts worship (Matthew 28:9, John 20:28), forgives sin (Mark 2:5–7), and claims divine authority.

In Islam:

Isa is a created human prophet, born of the virgin Mary by Allah’s command (Surah 3:45–47).

He is not divine, nor the Son of God (Surah 4:171).

He is considered a servant and messenger, equal to other prophets (Surah 42:13).

These are not compatible portraits. The Jesus of the New Testament claims divine status; the Isa of the Qur’an explicitly denies it.

2. Different Mission: Redemption vs. Instruction

Jesus’ mission was to save humanity:

"The Son of Man came to seek and to save the lost." — Luke 19:10

"This is my blood... poured out for many for the forgiveness of sins." — Matthew 26:28

He came to fulfill the law (Matthew 5:17), die for sin (Isaiah 53:5), and rise in victory (1 Corinthians 15).

Isa’s mission in the Qur’an is moral exhortation:

He is sent to confirm the Torah (Surah 61:6).

He preaches worship of one God and moral righteousness (Surah 3:51).

He denies being divine and distances himself from being worshipped (Surah 5:116).

There is no cross. No atonement. No resurrection. No new covenant. The Qur’anic Isa brings nothing spiritually redemptive. His message is truncated, stripped of its power.

3. Absence of the Father: A Relationship Removed

One of the most profound omissions in the Qur’an is the absence of God as Father.

In Christianity:

God is not only Creator but Father (Matthew 6:9).

Jesus refers to God as Abba, an intimate term for Father (Mark 14:36).

Believers are adopted as children of God (Romans 8:15).

In Islam:

Allah is never referred to as Father.

The very idea of divine sonship is condemned (Surah 112:3; Surah 5:72–75).

This leaves Islam with a God who is distant, unknowable, and fundamentally unrelational. There is no intimacy, no adoption, and no personal communion with God as Father. Instead of a Father calling lost children home, Allah in the Qur’an is a ruler issuing decrees.

This difference cannot be overstated: Christianity is about relationship. Islam is about subjugation.

4. Teachings Compared

Jesus (in the Gospels):

Love your enemies (Matthew 5:44)

Turn the other cheek (Matthew 5:39)

Forgive seventy times seven (Matthew 18:22)

Blessed are the peacemakers (Matthew 5:9)

The first will be last (Mark 10:31)

Isa (in the Qur’an):

Obey Allah and follow his commands (Surah 3:51)

Believe in the oneness of Allah (Surah 5:116)

Practice righteousness and fear of God (Surah 43:63–64)

Isa’s teachings lack the radical love, grace, and heart-transforming message of the Gospels. They align more with Old Testament moralism than the revolutionary ethic of the Kingdom of God that Jesus preached.

5. Message and Meaning: Fullness vs. Emptiness

Jesus offers a message of hope, redemption, and purpose:

"I have come that they may have life, and have it to the full." — John 10:10

He invites relationship, transformation, and eternal adoption into God’s family.

Isa offers submission — but no assurance of forgiveness, no relationship with God, and no clear path to eternal life beyond obedience and Allah’s unknown will.

The Jesus of the Bible is the center of a divine rescue mission. The Isa of the Qur’an is a footnote to moral instruction.

Conclusion: Two Different Beings, Two Different Gospels

The Jesus of the New Testament and the Isa of the Qur’an cannot be harmonized:

One is God incarnate. The other is not.

One offers salvation. The other offers submission.

One speaks of the Father. The other knows Him not.

To say they are the same is to ignore their words, missions, and identities.

History, prophecy, and spiritual reality affirm Jesus as He is — not as He is reimagined.

“Who do you say I am?” — Jesus, Matthew 16:15

That question remains. And the answer cannot be both.

Early Manuscript Evidence

Old Testament: The Dead Sea Scrolls (dated 3rd century BCE to 1st century CE) match the Masoretic Text used in modern Bibles.

New Testament: Over 5,800 Greek manuscripts, including Codex Sinaiticus and Codex Vaticanus (4th century), verify the transmission of the gospel.

Early church fathers: Their writings alone can reconstruct the entire New Testament.

Historical Testimony

Tacitus (Roman historian, ~116 AD): Confirms Jesus was executed under Pontius Pilate.

Josephus (Jewish historian): Mentions Jesus' death.

There is no historical or manuscript evidence of a corrupted Gospel. The idea is Islamic doctrine, not historical fact.

Chapter 5: The Theological Contradiction – God the Deceiver?

One of the most unsettling implications in Islamic theology arises from the Qur'an's treatment of the crucifixion. In Surah 4:157, the Qur'an claims:

"They did not kill him, nor did they crucify him, but it was made to appear so to them."

This statement—brief yet potent—introduces a theological crisis. It implies that God intentionally orchestrated the greatest historical deception known to man. According to this verse, the world was not mistaken; it was deliberately misled.

Let's examine this in theological, historical, moral, and scriptural context.

1. The Historical Weight of the Crucifixion

The crucifixion of Jesus is one of the most well-attested events in ancient history. It is confirmed not only in all four canonical Gospels but also in numerous extra-biblical sources:

Biblical Eyewitnesses:

Matthew, Mark, Luke, John — all testify to Jesus' crucifixion, burial, and resurrection.

Paul writes of the crucifixion and resurrection as central to the Gospel (1 Corinthians 15:3–8), citing eyewitnesses including Peter, James, and more than 500 others.

Early Church Testimony:

The early creeds (such as the one in 1 Corinthians 15) date to within 3–5 years of Jesus' death.

Ignatius, Polycarp, and Justin Martyr, writing in the early 2nd century, affirm the

crucifixion and its salvific meaning.

Secular and Hostile Sources:

Tacitus (Roman historian, ~116 AD): “Christus... suffered the extreme penalty during the reign of Tiberius at the hands of... Pontius Pilatus.”

Josephus (Jewish historian, ~93 AD): Mentions the execution of Jesus, called Christ.

Lucian of Samosata, a satirist, references the crucifixion mockingly, confirming its widespread recognition.

The crucifixion was public, documented, and remembered by followers, enemies, and Roman authorities.

For Islam to reject this and propose a substitution, it must reject the testimony of every contemporary witness and embrace a sixth-century revision with no sources, no names, and no explanation.

2. The Moral Problem: A God Who Deceives?

The substitution theory (that someone else was made to look like Jesus and crucified in his place) raises profound theological questions:

Who was crucified?

Why didn’t God clarify the truth immediately?

Why deceive Jesus’ disciples and allow the rise of a false religion?

This is not merely an issue of mistaken identity. The Qur’an explicitly says:

“It was made to appear so to them.”

Made to appear—a phrase that implies intentionality. This would mean God orchestrated a deception that led:

The disciples to preach a false resurrection.

The early Church to suffer and die for a lie.

Billions to believe in a false Gospel for centuries.

But Scripture is clear:

Numbers 23:19 – “God is not a man, that He should lie.”

Titus 1:2 – “…God, who cannot lie…”

God is not deceptive. He reveals truth. He is the light, not the author of confusion (1 Corinthians 14:33).

If Islam is correct, then God deliberately created a false religion—Christianity—and allowed it to spread for over 2,000 years. That is not justice. That is not truth. That is not God.

3. Theological Collapse: Either God Lied, or the Qur’an is False

The implication is stark:

If God deceived mankind through the crucifixion, He cannot be trusted.

If He did not deceive mankind, then the Qur’an is wrong about the crucifixion.

Islam is trapped:

Either divine morality collapses,

Or Qur’anic infallibility collapses.

There is no middle ground.

Christianity requires faith, but it does not require moral contradiction. The God of the Bible acts in truth, fulfills prophecy, and confirms His word through signs and testimony. He does not rewrite history with contradiction and silence.

4. Prophecy and Purpose

The Bible foretold the crucifixion:

Isaiah 53 – “He was pierced for our transgressions.”

Psalm 22 – “They pierced my hands and my feet.”

Zechariah 12:10 – “They will look on me, the one they have pierced.”

The crucifixion was not a failure—it was fulfillment.

Jesus Himself prophesied it:

“The Son of Man must suffer many things and be rejected… and be killed, and after three days rise again.” — Mark 8:31

The Qur’an’s denial is not only a historical error, but a theological erasure of God's

plan.

5. A False Dilemma Avoided by Christianity

Unlike Islam, Christianity holds:

A God who does not lie.

A Savior who foretold His death.

Apostles who saw and testified.

Prophets who predicted the cross.

A Gospel that fulfills, not contradicts.

The Qur’anic version must claim that:

Every Gospel was corrupted.

Every eyewitness was deceived.

Every prophecy was misunderstood.

Every martyr died for a lie.

This collapses under its own weight.

Conclusion: Deception Is Not Divine

Islam’s denial of the crucifixion and substitution theory demands that God be the architect of a grand lie. This contradicts both moral reason and divine nature.

The crucifixion is not just a historical claim—it is the central act of divine love, attested by history, confirmed by prophecy, and embraced by transformed lives.

“Christ died for our sins according to the Scriptures, that He was buried, and that He rose again on the third day…” — 1 Corinthians 15:3–4

This is the truth. This is the Gospel. And this is what the Qur’an cannot explain away without rendering its own God unworthy of trust.

Chapter 6: Christian Fulfillment and the Preservation of Scripture

The foundation of Christianity is not built on contradiction or revision, but on fulfillment—God’s progressive revelation from the Old Covenant to the New. In contrast to Islam’s claim of biblical corruption and replacement theology, Christianity

affirms the continuity and completion of God’s redemptive work.

In this chapter, we examine two essential truths:

Christianity fulfills, not rejects, the Old Testament.

The Bible is reliably preserved, both textually and historically.

Let us reason together.

1. Fulfillment, Not Abrogation

Jesus did not come to replace the Torah or the Prophets—He came to fulfill them:

“Do not think that I came to abolish the Law or the Prophets; I did not come to abolish but to fulfill.” — Matthew 5:17

“And beginning with Moses and all the Prophets, He explained to them what was said in all the Scriptures concerning Himself.” — Luke 24:27

The New Testament repeatedly confirms that Jesus is the promised Messiah, foretold by the Law, the Prophets, and the Psalms (Luke 24:44).

Examples of Fulfillment:

Isaiah 53: Describes the suffering servant who would bear the iniquities of many—fulfilled in Christ’s crucifixion.

Psalm 22: A vivid prophetic image of crucifixion centuries before it was invented.

Micah 5:2: Predicts the Messiah will be born in Bethlehem.

Zechariah 9:9: Foretells the King coming on a donkey.

These prophecies are not vague—they are historically fulfilled in specific ways, confirmed by New Testament writers, early Church tradition, and eyewitnesses.

Unlike the Qur’an, which recasts earlier stories without continuity or context, Christianity builds upon the foundation of the Hebrew scriptures. Jesus is the thread that ties together the Law, the Prophets, and the New Covenant.

2. Preservation of the Bible – A Historical and Textual Case

Islam often claims that the Bible has been corrupted or altered. Yet this claim is contradicted by mountains of manuscript evidence, historical record, and textual

consistency.

Let us examine the facts.

Old Testament Reliability

Dead Sea Scrolls (discovered 1947–1956): Date from 250 BC to 70 AD. They include fragments from every Old Testament book except Esther.

The Isaiah Scroll, nearly complete, matches the Masoretic Text used in modern Bibles with 99.5% accuracy, differences being mainly spelling variations.

This demonstrates that the Jewish scribes preserved the text with extraordinary care.

New Testament Reliability

Over 5,800 Greek manuscripts, 10,000 Latin manuscripts, and thousands more in other ancient languages exist.

Earliest fragment: P52 (John 18), dated to around 125 AD—just decades after the Gospel was written.

Codex Sinaiticus and Codex Vaticanus (4th century): Contain the majority of the New Testament.

No other ancient book comes remotely close to this level of textual support. Homer’s Iliad has ~1,800 manuscripts. Plato’s works survive in fewer than 10.

Early Church Fathers

The Church Fathers (Clement, Polycarp, Irenaeus, Tertullian, etc.) quoted the New Testament so extensively that the entire New Testament could be reconstructed from their citations alone.

What About Variants?

Textual critics acknowledge variants, but the overwhelming majority are:

Spelling or grammatical differences.

Word order changes that do not affect meaning.

Easily identifiable scribal errors.

No core doctrine of Christianity is affected by any variant.

Dr. Daniel Wallace (New Testament textual critic) affirms:

“The New Testament is the best-attested work of classical literature in existence.”

3. Islamic Double Standard

Muslims accept the Qur’an’s preservation as a miracle, yet reject the Bible’s preservation despite having far more evidence:

The Qur’an was compiled after Muhammad’s death, from scattered oral and written sources.

Early recitations varied; several readings were burned by Uthman (Caliph) to create a standardized text.

Today’s Qur’an follows the Hafs reading, which differs from the Warsh and others.

In contrast, the Bible was never centralized under a political ruler, and yet it exhibits remarkable consistency across thousands of copies and centuries.

4. Jesus Endorses the Old Testament Scriptures

Jesus and His apostles quoted from the existing Hebrew scriptures without suggesting corruption:

“It is written…” — a phrase Jesus repeats often (e.g., Matthew 4:4, 4:7, 4:10).

“Have you not read…?” — referencing the Torah as authoritative (Matthew 22:31).

He affirmed the integrity of Moses, the Prophets, and the Psalms.

Likewise, the Qur’an commands Christians to follow the Gospel:

“Let the People of the Gospel judge by what Allah has revealed therein.” — Surah 5:47

This command only makes sense if the Gospel existed and was intact during Muhammad’s time. Yet the Gospel available then is the same we have today.

Conclusion: One Story, One Savior, One Preserved Word

Christianity does not rewrite history. It completes it.

Jesus fulfills the Law and Prophets.

The Gospel fulfills ancient promises.

The Scriptures are preserved, tested, and verifiable.

Islam’s claim of biblical corruption cannot stand under scrutiny. The Bible—Old and New—is the unfolding drama of redemption through Christ.

“The grass withers, the flower fades, but the word of our God stands forever.” — Isaiah 40:8

“Heaven and earth will pass away, but my words will never pass away.” — Matthew 24:35

The Word is preserved. The fulfillment is real. And Jesus Christ is the same—yesterday, today, and forever (Hebrews 13:8).

Would you test this for yourself? Would you follow where truth leads?

Chapter 7: The Claim of Biblical Corruption in Islam – Origins and Examination

One of the most repeated accusations in Islamic discourse is that the Bible has been "corrupted." This idea—that Jews and Christians altered their scriptures—is often used to explain away contradictions between the Qur’an and the Bible. But where does this claim originate, and is there any factual basis to support it?

In this chapter, we will:

Trace the historical development of the claim.

Analyze the Qur’anic verses often cited to support it.

Examine Islamic scholarly interpretations.

Evaluate the evidence presented by Muslims.

Contrast with the actual textual and historical data surrounding the Bible.

Let us weigh this claim on the scales of evidence and reason.

1. Does the Qur’an Itself Say the Bible Was Corrupted?

Surprisingly, the Qur’an does not clearly state that the Torah or the Gospel were corrupted. Instead, it affirms their divine origin repeatedly:

"He sent down the Torah and the Gospel… as guidance for the people." — Surah 3:3

"Let the People of the Gospel judge by what Allah has revealed therein." — Surah 5:47

"Say, O People of the Book, you stand on nothing until you observe the Torah and the

Gospel..." — Surah 5:68

These verses presume the existence and reliability of the Torah and Gospel at the time of Muhammad. Why would Allah command Christians and Jews to follow texts that had already been corrupted?

2. The Verses Used to Suggest Corruption

Islamic polemicists often cite verses that speak of Jews or People of the Book distorting scripture. But a closer look reveals these refer to misinterpretation, not textual tampering:

Surah 2:75 – "Do you covet [the hope] that they would believe in you while a party of them used to hear the words of Allah and then distort it after they had understood it?"

Surah 3:78 – "And indeed, there is among them a party who alter the Scripture with their tongues so you may think it is from the Scripture, but it is not."

Surah 5:13 – "They distort words from their [proper] usages and have forgotten a portion of that of which they were reminded."

These refer to:

Twisting with the tongue (oral misrepresentation)

Misinterpretation or neglect, not physical alteration

None of these imply that the text of the Bible itself was changed. Instead, they accuse some Jews of willfully misrepresenting or hiding what the scriptures say.

3. The Doctrine of Corruption – A Later Islamic Development

The belief that the Bible was textually corrupted emerged more clearly in post-Qur’anic Islamic theology, especially after Muslim scholars began engaging in debates with Christians and Jews.

Ibn Hazm (11th century): One of the first to systematically argue that the Bible was textually corrupted.

His rationale: “The Qur’an must be correct; the Bible contradicts the Qur’an; therefore, the Bible must be corrupted.”

This was not based on manuscript evidence, but on theological necessity.

In other words, as Muslim-Christian debates intensified, Islamic scholars began asserting corruption to defend the Qur’an’s contradictions.

But there is no historical evidence or documented event describing a large-scale corruption of Christian or Jewish scripture.

4. The Problem of Timing and Geography

Even if one claims the Bible was corrupted, consider this:

Manuscripts of the Bible were already spread across continents by the 4th century.

The Bible was translated into Latin (Vulgate), Syriac (Peshitta), Coptic, Armenian, and Georgian.

These texts are textually consistent across regions with no evidence of coordinated tampering.

For Muslims to claim a unified corruption, it would require a global conspiracy involving:

Jews and Christians across Europe, Africa, and Asia

With no recorded disagreement or debate about such a change

Long before Muhammad’s time

This is historically implausible.

5. What Do Early Muslim Scholars Say?

Some classical Muslim scholars affirmed the preservation of the earlier scriptures:

Al-Tabari (9th century): Accepted the Gospels as valid until proven otherwise.

Al-Ghazali (11th century): Claimed that the textual Torah and Gospel could still be used for religious guidance.

Fakhr al-Din al-Razi (12th century): Admitted the difficulty in proving textual corruption.

It wasn’t until Ibn Taymiyyah and later apologists that the corruption charge became more rigid.

Even today, many Qur’anic scholars acknowledge that the Qur’an never explicitly teaches textual corruption.

6. The Inconsistency of the Corruption Argument

If the Bible is corrupted:

When was it corrupted?

Who corrupted it?

Where is the original?

Why do even hostile non-Christian texts (like Josephus or the Talmud) confirm similar accounts?

No answers.

Further contradiction:

Muslims often quote the Bible when it seems to support Islam (e.g., Deuteronomy 18 or John 16).

Yet they also claim it is unreliable.

One cannot argue from a source one considers corrupted, unless one admits it still contains truth.

Conclusion: No Evidence, Only Assertion

The Islamic claim of biblical corruption is:

Theologically convenient, not historically grounded.

A reaction, not a revelation.

Unsupported by manuscript, historical, or logical evidence.

The Qur’an affirms the scriptures of the People of the Book. History confirms their preservation. The charge of corruption is an attempt to cover a contradiction—but the truth stands.

“All flesh is like grass… but the word of our God stands forever.” — Isaiah 40:8

Next, we will examine what the Bible and Qur’an say about salvation—and how one offers assurance while the other offers uncertainty.

Chapter 8: Salvation – Grace and Assurance vs. Works and Uncertainty

At the heart of any religion is the question: How can we be made right with God? Christianity and Islam both offer answers, but those answers could not be more different.

In this chapter, we explore:

The Bible's message of salvation by grace through faith.

The Qur'an's model of works-based salvation.

What each teaches about assurance, forgiveness, and judgment.

Why only one provides a path that aligns with both divine justice and mercy.

Let us compare these teachings directly.

1. The Bible's Model: Grace Through Faith in Christ

Christianity teaches that salvation is a gift from God—not earned but received by faith.

"For it is by grace you have been saved, through faith—and this is not from yourselves, it is the gift of God—not by works, so that no one can boast." — Ephesians 2:8–9

"But God demonstrates His own love for us in this: While we were still sinners, Christ died for us." — Romans 5:8

"Therefore, since we have been justified through faith, we have peace with God through our Lord Jesus Christ." — Romans 5:1

Salvation in Christianity is:

Atoned for by Christ on the cross.

Received by faith, not human effort.

Secured in grace, not left to doubt.

Even the Old Testament foreshadowed this truth:

Abraham was "credited righteousness" by faith (Genesis 15:6; Romans 4:3).

Isaiah 53 speaks of the Messiah who would bear our iniquities.

The Gospel is not a list of rules—it is the declaration that the price has already been paid by Jesus.

2. The Qur’an’s Model: Works, Deeds, and Weighing Scales

Islam teaches that salvation is based on obedience, good deeds, and submission (Islam) to Allah’s will.

“Then those whose scales are heavy [with good deeds]—it is they who will be the successful. But those whose scales are light—those are the ones who have lost their souls…” — Surah 23:102–103

“Indeed, those who believe and do righteous deeds will have the Gardens of Paradise…” — Surah 18:107

“Every soul will be (held) in pledge for its deeds.” — Surah 74:38

Key elements of Islamic salvation:

One must perform the Five Pillars of Islam.

One’s good deeds must outweigh the bad.

Allah may forgive whom He wills (Surah 2:284), but this is not guaranteed.

There is no concept of atonement for sin in Islam—only hope in Allah’s mercy and one's own efforts.

3. Forgiveness and Atonement – The Missing Piece in Islam

In Christianity, forgiveness is purchased by Christ’s sacrifice.

“Without the shedding of blood there is no forgiveness of sins.” — Hebrews 9:22

“He Himself bore our sins in His body on the tree…” — 1 Peter 2:24

In Islam:

There is no substitutionary atonement.

Forgiveness may be granted if one repents, but often it is uncertain.

Even Muhammad was unsure of his own salvation:

“I do not know what Allah will do to me or to you.” — Surah 46:9

By contrast, the New Testament says:

“I write these things to you… so that you may know that you have eternal life.” — 1 John 5:13

Assurance is not arrogance. It is the fruit of grace.

4. The Role of Jesus vs. Muhammad

In Christianity:

Jesus is the Savior, not just a messenger.

He fulfilled prophecy, bore sin, and rose again.

He offers direct access to the Father (John 14:6).

In Islam:

Muhammad is the final prophet, not a savior.

He conveys Allah’s law but offers no atonement.

Followers must earn favor with Allah through submission and obedience.

This leads to very different messages:

Jesus says: “Come to Me… I will give you rest.” (Matthew 11:28)

Islam says: “Strive, perform, hope.”

5. Judgment Day – Confidence vs. Uncertainty

In Christianity:

Believers can stand justified before God (Romans 8:1).

The final judgment is real—but secured in Christ (John 5:24).

In Islam:

Judgment is based on a scale of deeds.

Even devout Muslims may face punishment or Hell temporarily.

Paradise is not guaranteed, unless one dies in jihad (Surah 9:111).

This uncertainty leads to fear—not peace.

6. Which Reflects God’s Nature More Clearly?

Christianity teaches a God who is holy, just, and yet loving enough to pay the price Himself.

Islam teaches a God who is remote, arbitrary, and whose mercy is unknown until the end.

Only the cross answers both justice and mercy:

“He is just and the justifier of the one who has faith in Jesus.” — Romans 3:26

Conclusion: One Offers Peace, the Other Only Possibility

Christianity says:

You can be sure of your standing with God.

Your sin is paid for.

You are forgiven, adopted, and secure.

Islam says:

You might be forgiven.

You might be accepted.

But you won’t know until the end.

“Therefore, since we have been justified through faith, we have peace with God through our Lord Jesus Christ.” — Romans 5:1

The Gospel does not ask, “Have you done enough?” It proclaims, “It is finished.” — John 19:30

Which message do you think truly comes from a God who is both just and loving?

Next, we examine the sources behind the Qur’an’s stories—especially its reliance on non-canonical and apocryphal writings.

Chapter 9: The Qur’an’s Use of Apocryphal and Non-Canonical Sources

A serious academic question arises when one studies the Qur’an’s treatment of biblical figures and narratives: Where did these stories come from? While the Qur’an claims continuity with the revelations of the Torah and the Gospel, many of its biblical references diverge substantially from the canonical scriptures. Intriguingly, many of these versions parallel apocryphal, Gnostic, or midrashic Jewish traditions—not the biblical texts themselves.

This chapter explores:

Specific examples where the Qur’an echoes non-canonical writings.

Historical background of these texts.

Why this presents a serious problem for the claim of divine revelation.

1. The Infancy Narratives of Jesus

Qur’anic Account (Surah 19:29–33; Surah 3:46):

Jesus speaks from the cradle to defend His mother and proclaim His prophethood.

This narrative does not exist in the canonical Gospels, but it does appear in the 2nd-century Infancy Gospel of Thomas and the Arabic Infancy Gospel:

In these texts, the child Jesus speaks, performs miracles like animating clay birds, and defends His mother.

Surah 3:49 explicitly mentions Jesus creating birds from clay and breathing life into them—an exact detail found in the Infancy Gospel of Thomas.

Problem: These sources were never considered inspired by Christians. They were rejected for being fantastical, historically unreliable, and theologically inconsistent.

2. Mary and the Birth of Jesus

Qur’anic Account (Surah 19:16–26):

Mary gives birth to Jesus under a palm tree, receiving dates and a stream of water during labor.

This account mirrors traditions found in the Protoevangelium of James and later apocryphal texts.

In the Gospel of Pseudo-Matthew (6th century), a palm tree miraculously bends to offer dates to Mary.

Again, this detail is absent in the canonical Gospels.

Problem: The Qur’an's retelling seems more aligned with folklore than revealed scripture.

3. Abraham and the Idol-Smashing Story

Qur’anic Account (Surah 21:51–70):

Abraham destroys the idols in his father’s house, then places the axe on the largest

idol, implying it was responsible.

This tale is not found in Genesis but is found in the Midrash Rabbah, a collection of rabbinic stories written centuries after the Old Testament.

Problem: The Qur’an presents this as historical revelation from God, yet its origin is a Jewish allegorical story intended to teach a moral, not record history.

4. The Seven Sleepers of the Cave

Qur’anic Account (Surah 18:9–26):

A group of young believers sleeps in a cave for centuries and awakens, unaware of how much time has passed.

This story is a well-known Christian legend from the 5th or 6th century called the Legend of the Seven Sleepers of Ephesus.

It was considered a pious tale by some but never canonical.

Problem: This inclusion suggests Muhammad was retelling popular religious folklore as divine revelation.

5. The Throne of Solomon and the Talking Ant

Qur’anic Account (Surah 27:17–44):

Solomon communicates with birds and hears an ant speaking.

This story is reminiscent of Jewish folklore in the Talmud and Targums, which depict Solomon with supernatural wisdom and dominion over animals.

The talking ant appears in Jewish midrashic texts, but not in the Bible.

Problem: This portrayal is closer to legend than divine scripture.

6. The Crucifixion Denial and the Substitute Theory

Qur’anic Account (Surah 4:157):

Jesus was not crucified—it was made to appear so.

This echoes Gnostic texts like the Second Treatise of the Great Seth (3rd century), where the real Christ is said to have escaped the crucifixion and someone else died in His place.

Problem: Gnosticism was condemned by all branches of early Christianity. The Qur’an appears to adopt a heretical version of the Christian story.

7. No Chain of Transmission

Unlike the Torah or the Gospels, whose texts have:

Known authors,

Community transmission,

Centuries of manuscript support,

The Qur’an’s source materials are unnamed, often vague, and make no distinction between truth and myth.

If the Qur’an came from a timeless, all-knowing God, why would it rely on late, unreliable, non-inspired sources that even Jews and Christians rejected?

Conclusion: Folklore, Not Revelation

The presence of non-canonical, apocryphal, and folkloric elements in the Qur’an raises serious questions:

Why do these stories appear if the Qur’an was revealed from heaven?

Why do they mirror rejected writings rather than sacred scripture?

Is this evidence of divine revelation—or human borrowing?

This pattern undermines the claim that the Qur’an is the final, perfect, and pure word of God. Instead, it suggests a collection of religious traditions and legends reassembled into a new narrative.

“Test everything. Hold fast to what is good.” — 1 Thessalonians 5:21

In the next chapter, we will compare the nature of Jesus in the Bible vs. Isa in the Qur’an—and how one reveals the heart of God while the other obscures it.

Chapter 10: Jesus vs. Isa – A Divine Savior or a Prophet Among Many?

The Qur’an claims to honor Jesus, presenting Him as a mighty prophet named Isa, born of a virgin, performing miracles, and called the Messiah. Yet this Isa bears little resemblance to the Jesus of the Bible—God incarnate, crucified, risen, and reigning.

This chapter explores the profound differences between Jesus and Isa:

Their nature and identity

Their teachings and mission

Their relationship with God

Their role in salvation

Only one reveals the fullness of God's plan.

1. Nature and Identity: Son of God vs. Servant of Allah

Jesus (Bible):

Divine (John 1:1–3, 14; Colossians 2:9)

Son of God, not created (John 3:16; Hebrews 1:3)

Equal with the Father (John 10:30)

Receives worship (Matthew 28:9; John 20:28)

Isa (Qur’an):

A created prophet (Surah 3:59: “Like Adam, He created him”)

Not divine, and denies being God (Surah 5:116)

Merely a servant of Allah (Surah 19:30)

Never called “Son of God”; such a claim is rejected (Surah 112:3)

The Isa of Islam denies the very titles that define the Jesus of Christianity.

2. Mission and Message: Redemption vs. Recitation

Jesus:

Came to save sinners (Luke 19:10)

Predicted His own death and resurrection (Mark 8:31)

Offered forgiveness and eternal life (John 11:25–26)

Instituted the new covenant in His blood (Luke 22:20)

Isa:

Sent only to confirm the Torah and preach Tawheed (oneness of God) (Surah 61:6)

Performs miracles but offers no atonement or resurrection

Preaches obedience to Allah, not relationship or redemption

Isa teaches law. Jesus fulfills grace.

3. Relationship to the Father: Intimacy vs. Absence

In Christianity:

God is called Father over 150 times in the New Testament

Jesus speaks to and about the Father with intimacy (John 17)

Believers are adopted as children of God (Romans 8:15)

In Islam:

Allah is never referred to as Father

The concept of divine sonship is categorically rejected (Surah 5:72, 9:30)

No relationship—only servitude

The absence of the Father in Islam leads to an absence of love, grace, and adoption.

4. Crucifixion and Resurrection: The Cornerstone vs. Denial

Jesus:

Crucified under Pontius Pilate (all four Gospels, 1 Corinthians 15:3–4)

Rose on the third day, appeared to hundreds

His death was foretold in prophecy (Isaiah 53; Psalm 22)

Isa:

Not crucified, according to Surah 4:157

Substitution theory—someone else died in His place

Denies resurrection entirely

Without crucifixion and resurrection, there is no atonement, no Gospel, and no hope.

5. Return and Role in the End Times

Both Islam and Christianity teach that Jesus will return—but with vastly different roles:

Christianity: Jesus returns as King of kings, judge of the living and the dead (Revelation 19)

Islam: Isa returns to break the cross, kill the swine, and declare Islam as the true religion (Hadith: Sahih al-Bukhari 3448)

This Isa comes to refute Christianity, not affirm it.

6. Teachings and Ethics

Jesus:

Turn the other cheek (Matthew 5:39)

Love your enemies (Matthew 5:44)

Forgive endlessly (Matthew 18:21–22)

The Beatitudes (Matthew 5:1–12)

Isa:

No ethical teachings recorded in the Qur’an

Serves mainly as a proof of Allah’s power

The moral authority of Jesus is unmatched. Isa’s teachings are silent by comparison.

Conclusion: Two Figures, One Truth

Jesus of the Bible and Isa of the Qur’an are not the same person.

One is God in the flesh.

The other is a prophet pointing to another.

One came to die for you. The other denies the death that saved you.

The contrast is not academic—it is eternal.

“Who do you say that I am?” — Jesus (Matthew 16:15)

The answer to that question separates not just religions, but destinies.

Next, we will examine how the early Church faced persecution for proclaiming Christ—while early Islam spread through military conquest. What do these origins reveal about their truth?

Chapter 11: The Early Church vs. Early Islam – Martyrs and Conquerors

The origins of a faith often reflect the heart of its message. The early Christian movement and early Islamic expansion followed radically different paths. One began in

humility, sacrifice, and persecution. The other, in military conquest, submission, and law.

This chapter compares the early Church and early Islam:

Historical context and growth

Use of violence and coercion

How each spread its message

What this reveals about their source

1. The Early Church: Born in Persecution, Grown in Grace

Jesus and His Apostles:

Jesus was crucified by Roman authorities.

All but one of His apostles were martyred:

Peter crucified upside down (Rome)

Paul beheaded (Rome)

James stoned and clubbed (Jerusalem)

Thomas speared (India)

They died proclaiming the resurrection, not for power or territory, but for truth.

The Early Christians:

Persecuted by Jews (Acts 8:1–3) and Romans (64 AD onward).

Accused of atheism (for rejecting Roman gods), cannibalism (misunderstanding the Eucharist), and sedition.

Thrown to lions, burned alive, crucified.

“The blood of the martyrs is the seed of the Church.” — Tertullian (2nd century)

Christianity spread through testimony, compassion, and suffering:

Rescuing abandoned infants

Serving during plagues

Defying Caesar to follow Christ

There was no Christian army, no call to war—only a call to love and die well.

2. Early Islam: Military Expansion and Political Authority

Muhammad’s Transition:

In Mecca, he was a preacher (610–622 AD).

In Medina, he became a political and military leader (622–632 AD).

After the Hijra (migration to Medina), Islam changed drastically:

Raids on caravans (e.g., Battle of Badr, 624 AD)

Expulsion of Jewish tribes (Banu Qaynuqa, Banu Nadir, Banu Qurayza)

Warfare against Mecca and other Arab tribes

Qur’an and Hadith Evidence:

“Fight those who do not believe in Allah…” — Surah 9:29

“I have been commanded to fight the people until they say: ‘None has the right to be worshipped but Allah.’” — Sahih al-Bukhari 25

By Muhammad’s death in 632 AD:

Arabia was under Islamic control.

Conversion, submission (jizya tax), or war were the options.

Islam’s expansion continued:

Syria, Egypt, Persia, North Africa, Spain — all within 100 years.

Rapid conquest through military campaigns.

Islam grew through the sword; Christianity grew through the cross.

3. Coercion vs. Conviction

Christianity:

No forced conversions.

Faith cannot be coerced:

“Whoever desires to come after Me, let him deny himself, and take up his cross…” — Mark 8:34

Islam:

Offers three choices historically:

Convert to Islam

Submit under dhimmi status (pay jizya)

Fight and risk death

Apostasy from Islam is punishable by death in many interpretations:

“Whoever changes his religion, kill him.” — Sahih al-Bukhari 6922

4. Theological Implications

The early Church never wielded a sword, yet spread across the Roman Empire within 300 years.

Constantine legalized Christianity in 313 AD (Edict of Milan).

By then, it had already flourished underground through sacrificial love.

Early Islam, by contrast, established a theocratic state by Muhammad’s own hand.

Islam was a religio-political movement from its inception.

This raises questions:

Would God use military conquest to spread truth?

Why does one founder die for his enemies, while the other fights them?

5. Comparison of Founders

Conclusion: Witness or Warfare?

Christianity’s beginning is marked by martyrs who loved their enemies. Islam’s beginning is marked by conquests and treaties enforced by arms.

One kingdom is spiritual, established by the blood of its own founder. The other is territorial, established by the blood of its opponents.

“Put your sword back into its place, for all who take the sword will perish by the sword.” — Jesus (Matthew 26:52)

The cross is stronger than the sword.

Next, we’ll explore the treatment of women and minorities in Christianity vs. Islam—and what this reveals about their moral foundations.

Chapter 12: Women and Minorities – Comparing the Moral Frameworks of Christianity

and Islam

The ethical treatment of women and minorities offers a critical lens for evaluating the moral foundation of any worldview. Christianity and Islam offer vastly different teachings, rooted in their respective scriptures and histories.

This chapter explores:

The status and dignity of women

The treatment of non-believers and minorities

The moral implications of each worldview

Let us reason with compassion and clarity.

1. The Dignity of Women: Equal Image-Bearers or Inferior Witnesses?

Christianity:

From Genesis 1:27: “God created mankind in his own image… male and female he created them.”

Women are co-heirs in Christ (Galatians 3:28)

Jesus broke cultural norms to dignify women:

Spoke with the Samaritan woman (John 4)

Defended the adulteress from stoning (John 8)

Appeared first to women after His resurrection (Luke 24)

Paul affirms women’s gifts in the church (Romans 16)

Islam:

A woman’s testimony is worth half that of a man (Surah 2:282)

Inheritance laws give women half the share of men (Surah 4:11)

Muhammad allowed four wives (Surah 4:3), and owned concubines (e.g., Maria al-Qibtiyya)

Women are considered a source of temptation (fitna):

“I have not left after me any fitna more harmful to men than women.” — Sahih al-Bukhari 5096

One worldview exalts women as equal in worth. The other defines their value by comparison and control.

2. Marriage and Consent

Christianity:

Marriage is a sacred union reflecting Christ and the Church (Ephesians 5:25–28)

Husbands are to love their wives as Christ loved the Church—sacrificially

No command for forced marriage or child marriage

Islam:

Muhammad married Aisha when she was 6 and consummated at 9 (Sahih al-Bukhari 5133)

Girls can be married off by their guardians:

“The virgin’s silence is her consent.” — Sunan Abu Dawud 2092

Polygamy is allowed (up to four wives), along with temporary marriage (mut’ah in Shia Islam)

Christian marriage models sacrificial love. Islamic marriage allows for power imbalance and reduced consent.

3. Treatment of Non-Muslims and Minorities

Christianity:

Jesus commands love for enemies (Matthew 5:44)

The early Church welcomed Gentiles, breaking racial and national barriers (Acts 10)

Paul: “There is neither Jew nor Greek… for you are all one in Christ.” (Galatians 3:28)

Christians serve the marginalized: orphans, widows, foreigners

Islam:

Non-Muslims (dhimmis) must pay the jizya tax and live in a state of submission (Surah 9:29)

Apostasy is punishable by death (Sahih al-Bukhari 6922)

Muslims are not to take disbelievers as close friends (Surah 5:51)

Jews and Christians are described as “the most vile of created beings” in some tafsir interpretations (Surah 98:6)

Christianity teaches that every soul is equal before God. Islam imposes hierarchical worth based on belief.

4. Historical Legacy: Fruit of the Teachings

Christian World:

Movements for women’s rights, abolition, and civil rights often emerged from Christian ethics (e.g., Wilberforce, MLK Jr.)

Hospitals, orphanages, and education for women often began with Christian missions

Islamic World:

Women in many Islamic countries require male permission to travel or study

Apostasy and blasphemy laws still enforce persecution

Slavery was endorsed in Islamic jurisprudence for centuries

Where Christianity advanced, freedom and dignity increased. Where Islam ruled, subjugation often followed.

Conclusion: Love or Law?

Christianity teaches:

“Love your neighbor as yourself.”

“In Christ there is no male or female.”

All are image-bearers of a holy God

Islam teaches:

Obedience to law is supreme.

Believers are superior to disbelievers.

Women are limited in voice, inheritance, and autonomy.

“By their fruit you will recognize them.” — Matthew 7:16

Next, we will explore the doctrine of God in each faith: Father vs. Master, Trinity vs. Tawheed. What kind of God are we being asked to follow?

Chapter 13: The Doctrine of God – Trinity vs. Tawheed

Every worldview ultimately rests on its conception of God. What kind of God is being revealed? Is He personal or distant, relational or monolithic, loving or merely sovereign?

Christianity and Islam both affirm the existence of one God—but what they mean by "one" is profoundly different. In Christianity, God is triune: one essence in three persons. In Islam, God is an absolute unity—without partners, sons, or relational dimensions.

This chapter explores:

The biblical doctrine of the Trinity

The Islamic doctrine of Tawheed

Father vs. Master

Love, relationship, and personhood

What kind of God are we being asked to follow?

1. Trinity: Unity in Diversity (Christianity)

The Christian view is not that there are three gods, but one God in three persons:

Father – the source

Son – the Word made flesh

Holy Spirit – the indwelling presence of God

"Go therefore and make disciples… baptizing them in the name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Spirit." — Matthew 28:19

"In the beginning was the Word… and the Word was God… and the Word became flesh." — John 1:1,14

This model is:

Monotheistic (one God)

Relational (eternal love between persons)

Co-equal, co-eternal persons in perfect unity

God is not lonely. God is love because He exists eternally in relationship.

2. Tawheed: Absolute Oneness (Islam)

Tawheed is the central doctrine of Islam:

“Say, He is Allah, [who is] One, Allah, the Eternal Refuge. He neither begets nor is born, nor is there to Him any equivalent.” — Surah 112:1–4

Islam teaches:

God (Allah) is singular and indivisible.

Any association of partners with God (shirk) is the greatest sin.

Jesus as God’s Son is blasphemy (Surah 5:72)

Allah is pure will, power, and sovereignty. But in this conception:

God is not relational.

God is not love in His nature—only in action if He chooses.

God is not a Father (Surah 5:18)

Tawheed emphasizes power, not relationship. It preserves God’s transcendence but at the cost of His immanence.

3. Father vs. Master

Christianity:

God is Abba—a personal Father (Romans 8:15)

Jesus reveals God as Father over 150 times

We are adopted as sons and daughters (Galatians 4:4–7)

Islam:

Allah is Master, believers are slaves (‘abd)

No intimacy, adoption, or familial relationship

Prayer is submission, not communion

In Christianity, God invites you to be His child. In Islam, you are His subject.

4. God Is Love: A Biblical Revelation

“God is love.” — 1 John 4:8

Only a triune God can be love in His nature.

Love requires a lover, a beloved, and a bond.

In the Trinity, this has always existed.

In Tawheed:

Before creation, Allah had no one to love.

Love becomes a created trait, not an eternal one.

This isn’t abstract theology. It defines what kind of God you follow.

5. What Kind of God Is This?

Conclusion: Who Is God—Really?

The question is not just about doctrine—but about God’s heart.

Christianity says:

God came near.

God invites you in.

God made you family.

Islam says:

God is far above.

God commands your obedience.

God has no sons, no equals, no intimacy.

“To all who received Him, to those who believed in His name, He gave the right to become children of God.” — John 1:12

This is the God revealed by Jesus—not just powerful, but personal.

In the next chapter, we will explore prophecy and consistency: How do the Bible and Qur’an handle fulfilled prophecy? Is there a divine fingerprint in time?

Chapter 14: Prophecy and Consistency – The Divine Fingerprint in Time

One of the strongest indicators of divine authorship in any religious text is fulfilled prophecy. The Bible boldly declares events centuries before they occur and ties them to God's redemptive plan. The Qur’an, in contrast, is almost devoid of specific,

time-stamped prophecy. This chapter investigates both texts:

What prophecies are found?

Are they specific or vague?

Were they fulfilled, and can we verify them historically?

Let us explore whether history bears a divine fingerprint.

1. Biblical Prophecy: Specific, Detailed, and Fulfilled

Messianic Prophecies:

There are over 300 Old Testament prophecies that Christians believe are fulfilled in Jesus. Examples:

Born in Bethlehem (Micah 5:2 → Matthew 2:1)

Born of a virgin (Isaiah 7:14 → Matthew 1:22–23)

Rejected by His own people (Isaiah 53:3 → John 1:11)

Pierced hands and feet (Psalm 22:16 → John 20:27)

Silent before accusers (Isaiah 53:7 → Matthew 27:12–14)

Buried in a rich man's tomb (Isaiah 53:9 → Matthew 27:57–60)

These prophecies are:

Specific (not vague metaphors)

Written centuries before Christ

Preserved in the Septuagint (Greek OT) before 100 BC

Historical Prophecies:

Daniel's Four Kingdoms (Daniel 2, 7):

Babylon, Medo-Persia, Greece, Rome

Destruction of Jerusalem (Luke 19:43–44; fulfilled in 70 AD)

Fall of Tyre (Ezekiel 26): Nebuchadnezzar's siege and Alexander the Great's causeway

Cyrus named as liberator (Isaiah 44:28; 45:1) – 150 years before his birth

The Bible's prophecies are not hidden codes—they are public declarations tested by time.

2. The Qur’an and Prophecy: Where Are They?

The Qur’an claims to confirm earlier revelation, but it contains very few prophecies of its own:

Victory at Badr and Hudaybiyyah (Surah 30:1–5; 48:27):

These are post-event references or short-term political predictions.

Rome’s defeat and later comeback (Surah 30:2–4):

A vague prediction. Roman-Persian conflicts were ongoing.

Day of Judgment – eschatological, not verifiable

There are no:

Specific prophecies naming individuals centuries in advance

Events tied to specific times and locations

Historically verifiable predictions made long before fulfillment

Islamic prophecy is generally conditional or ambiguous.

3. Jesus Predicts the Future

The Gospels show Jesus making bold, specific predictions:

Destruction of the Second Temple (Matthew 24:1–2)

Peter’s denial before the rooster crows (Luke 22:34)

Betrayal by Judas (John 13:21–27)

His own crucifixion and resurrection (Mark 8:31; Matthew 16:21)

Fulfilled within a generation, these predictions were not generalities but precise.

Fulfilled prophecy is central to Jesus’ identity as the promised Messiah.

4. Consistency in Revelation

The Bible:

Written over 1,500 years by 40+ authors on 3 continents

Unified theme: God’s redemptive plan through Christ

Prophets build on each other; no contradictions in message

The Qur’an:

Asserts that it confirms the Torah and Gospel (Surah 5:47, 5:68)

Yet contradicts key doctrines:

Denies crucifixion (Surah 4:157)

Denies Sonship and Trinity (Surah 5:72–75)

Offers no consistent narrative of redemption

A book cannot simultaneously confirm and contradict what it claims to uphold.

5. Historical Preservation and Verification

The Bible's prophecies are:

Traceable in ancient manuscripts (Dead Sea Scrolls, Septuagint)

Publicly known before fulfillment

Subject to historical and archaeological verification

The Qur'an:

Compiled decades after Muhammad's death

Prophecies are sparse and unverifiable

Offers no historical or textual evidence of pre-Islamic prophetic insight

Conclusion: God Leaves a Trail in Time

The God of the Bible proves His sovereignty by revealing the end from the beginning:

"I am God, and there is no other; declaring the end from the beginning, and from ancient times things not yet done." — Isaiah 46:9–10

The Bible stands alone among ancient texts for its volume and accuracy of fulfilled prophecy. The Qur'an provides spiritual instruction and legal code—but not predictive revelation.

If God speaks, history will bear His voice.

Next, we explore the doctrine of sin and atonement—how each faith views the human condition and what is required to make us right with God.

Chapter 15: Sin and Atonement – The Human Problem and God's Solution

Every worldview must answer the most personal and universal question: What is

wrong with the world—and how can it be made right? Christianity and Islam offer very different understandings of the human condition and its remedy.

This chapter explores:

The nature and seriousness of sin

The means of atonement

How each faith presents God's justice and mercy

The path to reconciliation with God

1. The Doctrine of Sin

Christianity:

Sin is a fallen condition and a rebellion against God.

It began with Adam (Genesis 3), and all humanity shares in this broken nature (Romans 5:12).

It affects every part of us—mind, will, body, and soul.

Sin separates us from God and leads to death (Romans 6:23).

“For all have sinned and fall short of the glory of God.” — Romans 3:23

Islam:

Sin is a moral failure, not a condition.

Adam’s sin was personal, not inherited (Surah 20:121–122).

Humans are born morally neutral and accountable only for their actions.

Forgiveness is available through repentance, prayer, and good deeds.

“No bearer of burdens will bear the burden of another.” — Surah 6:164

Christianity sees sin as a fatal disease. Islam sees it as a series of moral missteps.

2. God’s Justice and Mercy

Christianity:

God is just and cannot ignore sin (Habakkuk 1:13).

Yet He is also merciful, providing a perfect substitute.

“Without the shedding of blood there is no forgiveness.” — Hebrews 9:22

The cross satisfies both justice and mercy:

Jesus pays the penalty (justice)

We receive forgiveness (mercy)

“God made Him who knew no sin to be sin for us, so that in Him we might become the righteousness of God.” — 2 Corinthians 5:21

Islam:

Allah is merciful—but forgiveness is not guaranteed.

Good deeds must outweigh bad (Surah 101:6–9).

Repentance is key, but punishment may still come.

No concept of substitutionary atonement.

In Islam, mercy is hoped for. In Christianity, mercy is secured.

3. The Role of Jesus

Christianity:

Jesus is the Lamb of God who takes away sin (John 1:29)

He lives a sinless life, dies a substitutionary death, and rises in victory

He fulfills the sacrificial system (Isaiah 53, Leviticus 16)

Islam:

Jesus (Isa) is a prophet and messenger—but not divine.

He performs miracles but does not atone for sin.

He did not die on the cross (Surah 4:157), and thus no redemptive death occurred.

Without the cross, there is no atonement. Without atonement, there is no justification.

4. Means of Reconciliation

Christianity:

We are saved by grace through faith, not by works (Ephesians 2:8–9)

Reconciliation is a gift, not a reward

Assurance is based on Christ’s finished work (John 19:30)

Islam:

Salvation is earned through belief, good deeds, prayer, and submission

There is no assurance except for martyrs (Surah 9:111)

Final judgment determines one's fate based on a scale of deeds

Christianity offers peace with God now. Islam offers hope of mercy later.

5. Atonement in the Old Testament and the Cross

The entire biblical narrative points to substitutionary atonement:

Genesis 3 – God clothes Adam and Eve with animal skins (a life for a life)

Exodus 12 – The Passover lamb protects from judgment

Leviticus 16 – The Day of Atonement: a goat bears the sin of the people

Isaiah 53 – The Suffering Servant is "pierced for our transgressions"

All find fulfillment in Christ.

"This is my blood of the covenant, which is poured out for many for the forgiveness of sins." — Matthew 26:28

Conclusion: Grace or Uncertainty?

The Bible presents a God who:

Takes sin seriously

Provides the solution Himself

Offers forgiveness and adoption through Christ

The Qur'an presents a God who:

Requires human effort

May or may not forgive

Offers no sacrifice, only law

"Christ redeemed us from the curse of the law…" — Galatians 3:13

The problem is not just that we sin—but that we can't save ourselves. Only one faith proclaims that God stepped in to do what we never could.

Next, we examine the final destinations each faith presents: What are heaven and hell really like—and what do they reveal about their source?

Chapter 16: Heaven and Hell – Two Final Destinations, Two Very Different Visions

What lies beyond this life? Both Christianity and Islam affirm an afterlife with eternal consequences—heaven for the righteous, hell for the wicked. But the nature, criteria, and emphasis of each differ drastically. The concepts of paradise and punishment in both worldviews reflect the character of their respective gods, and the kind of relationship offered in eternity.

This chapter explores:

The nature of heaven and hell in both traditions

The criteria for entry

The moral and theological implications

What these destinations say about God's character

1. Heaven: Fellowship or Fulfillment of Desire?

Christianity:

Heaven is about eternal communion with God (Revelation 21:3–4)

A place where sin, death, and suffering are no more

A restored creation (New Heaven and New Earth)

Believers will see God face to face (1 Corinthians 13:12)

Focus is on relationship, worship, and joy in God

"In Your presence is fullness of joy; at Your right hand are pleasures forevermore." — Psalm 16:11

Islam:

Jannah is described as a garden of physical delights (Surah 55)

Rivers of wine, milk, and honey (Surah 47:15)

Servants and reclining couches (Surah 56:15–24)

72 virgins for male martyrs (Hadith – Jami` at-Tirmidhi 2562)

God is present but not directly encountered

Focus is on reward, comfort, and pleasure

Christianity presents heaven as reunion with the Creator. Islam presents it as reward from the Creator.

2. Hell: Justice or Fear?

Christianity:

Hell is eternal separation from God (2 Thessalonians 1:9)

A place of judgment for unrepentant sin (Matthew 25:41–46)

Described as fire, darkness, and weeping—but metaphorical or literal, it is relational exile

God’s justice is righteous, not vindictive

Islam:

Jahannam is a place of fiery torment, boiling water, shackles, and skin-burning (Surah 4:56, 14:49–50)

Described in graphic and repeated terms

Unbelievers, including Jews and Christians, are condemned unless they convert (Surah 98:6)

Some schools believe Muslims may pass through hell temporarily

The biblical hell is tragic separation. The Qur’anic hell is vivid retribution.

3. Criteria for Entry

Christianity:

Entry into heaven is by grace through faith (Ephesians 2:8–9)

Christ’s righteousness is credited to believers (Romans 5:1–2)

Eternal life is a gift (John 3:16)

Islam:

Entry into paradise is through faith plus works

Good deeds must outweigh bad (Surah 7:8–9)

Martyrdom guarantees paradise (Surah 9:111)

Uncertainty is common—salvation is never assured

Christianity offers certainty through Christ. Islam offers hope through effort.

4. Moral Implications of the Afterlife

Christianity:

Encourages self-sacrifice, humility, and love for others

Emphasizes forgiveness, even of enemies

Believers are motivated by gratitude, not fear

Islam:

Jihad is a path to paradise (Hadith: Sahih Muslim 1909)

Earthly obedience and ritual observance are key

Motivation often includes fear of hell and desire for reward

Heaven reveals what we value. Christianity values God Himself. Islam often values His gifts.

5. What Do These Visions Reveal About God?

“Now the dwelling of God is with man…” — Revelation 21:3

Heaven is not just a reward. It is home.

Conclusion: Where Are We Going—and Who Will Be There?

Only one destination welcomes us not because of what we’ve done, but because of what God has done for us. Only one promise offers eternity with the One who made us.

“This is eternal life: that they know You, the only true God, and Jesus Christ whom You have sent.” — John 17:3

The contrast is clear:

One path leads to reunion with the Father.

The other leads to striving for reward with no guarantee.

Next, we conclude our journey by asking the final question: If these things are true—what should we do with them?

Chapter 17: What Now? A Call to Seek and Test the Truth

We have walked through deep waters—doctrine, history, prophecy, theology, and

eternity itself. We have compared the core of two great world religions not to diminish anyone, but to elevate the truth. If the evidence compels us, if the facts align with what the heart already whispers, then the question must be asked:

What now?

1. Truth Demands a Response

Truth is not merely for analysis. It is for action. When Jesus said, “I am the way, the truth, and the life” (John 14:6), He was not offering an idea—He was making a claim of reality. If that claim is true, neutrality is not an option.

The Qur’an says: “To you your religion, and to me mine.” (Surah 109:6) But Jesus says: “No one comes to the Father except through Me.” (John 14:6)

These are not compatible paths. One leads to the Father. The other walks in circles, forever hoping but never arriving.

2. The Invitation of Christ

Jesus does not invite you to earn salvation. He invites you to receive it:

“Come to Me, all who are weary and burdened, and I will give you rest.” — Matthew 11:28

He does not ask for perfect works—only a heart willing to trust, to follow, to repent and believe.

This is not blind faith. It is reasoned trust.

3. Seek and You Will Find

God is not hiding. He has revealed Himself:

In creation (Romans 1:20)

In conscience (Romans 2:14–15)

In Scripture (2 Timothy 3:16)

In the person of Jesus Christ (John 1:14)

“You will seek Me and find Me, when you seek Me with all your heart.” — Jeremiah 29:13

You don’t need a scholar. You don’t need a priest. You need only to open your heart to the One who already knows you.

4. The Cost of Ignoring the Truth

Truth ignored is not neutral—it is rejected. If Christ is who He claimed to be, then ignoring Him is rejecting the only lifeboat in a sea of false hope.

“How shall we escape if we neglect such a great salvation?” — Hebrews 2:3

No one else died for you. No one else rose again. No one else can forgive sin, reconcile you to God, and offer eternal life.

5. A Final Call

This is not about religion. It’s about reality.

God exists.

Sin separates.

Christ saves.

The choice is yours.

If you’ve reached the end of this journey and still wonder where to begin, let it be here:

“Lord Jesus, if You are who You say You are, show me. I want truth—not tradition. I want You—not empty religion. I want to be made right with God.”

He hears. And He answers.

Epilogue: The Journey Continues

This book is not the end. It is only the beginning. Continue to study. Continue to ask. But most of all—continue to seek Him.

Because truth isn’t an idea. Truth is a person.

“You will know the truth, and the truth will set you free.” — John 8:32

God is not afraid of investigation.

He invites reasoning (Isaiah 1:18).

He allows testing (1 Thessalonians 5:21).

He calls for seeking (Matthew 7:7).

Islamic claims collapse under this scrutiny. Christian claims withstand it.

Conclusion: One Truth Cannot Contradict Itself

Islam, by affirming and denying the Bible at once, self-destructs. It cannot affirm the Gospel’s origin and deny its message. It cannot claim divine truth while accusing God of deception.

Christianity does not fear reason, history, or Scripture. It fulfils prophecy, explains human brokenness, and offers eternal hope through Christ.

Come, let us reason together. Seek the truth. The truth is good. And only God is good. Behold, the truth is a person—Jesus Christ.

Would you test Him? Would you ask Him yourself? The door is open.

Summary: The Path of Truth

This work has explored the critical theological, historical, and spiritual differences between Christianity and Islam—not to shame, but to shine light. Each chapter has invited the reader to reason carefully:

Chapter 1–4: Jesus and Isa are not the same. One is divine, the other denies divinity.

Chapter 5–7: The Qur’an’s account of Jesus undermines God's nature and historical evidence. Scripture remains preserved despite accusations.

Chapter 8–10: Christianity completes the Old Testament through prophecy and fulfillment. The Qur’an leans on non-canonical legends, and the Isa it portrays lacks the depth and divinity of Jesus.

Chapter 11–13: The fruits of each faith’s origin, doctrine of God, and treatment of humanity reveal deep moral and spiritual distinctions.

Chapter 14–16: Christianity offers prophecy fulfilled, salvation secured, and eternity with God. Islam offers uncertain mercy and conditional reward.

Chapter 17: The journey concludes with a simple call—seek the truth. The evidence points to Christ.

The truth can be tested. The truth is good. The truth sets us free.

Bibliography & References

Scripture:

The Holy Bible (NIV, ESV, NKJV)

The Qur’an (Pickthall, Yusuf Ali translations)

Historical & Theological Sources:

William Lane Craig, Reasonable Faith

Nabeel Qureshi, Seeking Allah, Finding Jesus

F.F. Bruce, The New Testament Documents: Are They Reliable?

Josh McDowell, The New Evidence That Demands a Verdict

Bart Ehrman (referenced critically for textual discussions)

Sahih al-Bukhari & Sahih Muslim (Hadith collections)

John Gilchrist, The Quran and the Cross

Bruce Metzger, The Canon of the New Testament

Apologetics and Commentary:

Ravi Zacharias, Can Man Live Without God?

David Wood (Acts17 Apologetics)

Al-Mawardi, Ibn Kathir, and other classical Islamic sources

Layout Recommendations (for Print or Digital)

Title pages before each chapter with thematic quote or Scripture

Sidebars or callout boxes for:

Key verses

Historical timelines

Contrasting theological definitions

Footnotes or endnotes for Qur’anic references and hadith explanations

Glossary of key terms: tawheed, shirk, trinity, atonement, etc.

Appendices:

Timeline of biblical prophecy fulfillment

Side-by-side chart: Jesus vs. Isa

Resource list for seekers (books, videos, ministries)